Quarterly Noise Monitoring Report July - September 2019

Metropolitan Oakland International Airport

HMMH Report No. 310930.000.003 November 2019

Prepared for:

Port of Oakland Oakland, California



Quarterly Noise Monitoring Report July – September 2019

Metropolitan Oakland International Airport

HMMH Report No. 310930.000.003 November 2019

Prepared for:

Port of Oakland, Oakland International Airport

#1 Airport Drive, Box 45 Oakland, CA 94621

Prepared by:

Rhea Gundry Irene Ramirez Vincent Ma



HMMH

1508 Eureka Road, Suite 190 Roseville, CA 95661 T 916.368.0707 F 916.368.1201

Executive Summary

The California Airport Noise Regulation (California Code of Regulations, Title 21, Section 50025, County Report) requires the quarterly report include use of a standard information format provided by the California Department of Transportation "Department" (form DOA 617, dated 10/89). The information below fulfills this requirement.

CALIFORNIA FORM DOA 617

Summary of Statistical Information
For
California Department of Transportation
Oakland International Airport
Calendar Year 2019: Third Quarter 2019

- 1. Size of Noise Impact Area as defined in the Noise Standards (California Code of Regulations, Title 21, Chapter 2.5, Subchapter 6): 0 sq. miles
- 2. Estimated number of dwelling units included in the Noise Impact Area as defined by the Noise Standards: 0 dwelling units
- Estimated number of people residing within the Noise Impact Area as defined by the Noise Standards: 0 people
- 4. Identification of aircraft type having highest takeoff noise level operating at this airport together with estimated number of operations by this aircraft type during the calendar quarter reporting period: FA50; SEL: 100.7 dB; Estimated Operations: 43
- 5. Total number of aircraft operations during the calendar quarter: 49,752 aircraft operations
- **6.** Number of Commercial operations during the calendar quarter (not mandatory): <u>32,253 Commercial</u> Jet operations
- 7. Percentage of Air Carrier operations by aircraft certified under Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 36¹, Stage III (not mandatory): 100% of air carrier/air cargo operations Stage III or quieter
- **8.** Estimated number of operations by General Aviation aircraft during the calendar quarter (not mandatory): <u>10,465 General Aviation aircraft operations estimated from FAA tower counts</u>
- 9. Estimated number of operations by Military aircraft during the calendar quarter (not mandatory): 236 Military aircraft operations estimated from FAA tower counts.

Per Title 21 requirements, the report must also include a map illustrating the location of the noise impact boundary, as validated by measurement, the location of the measurement points (Figure ES-1 satisfies this requirement) and the daily measured CNEL values at each of the noise monitoring sites (Tables ES-1 through ES-3 satisfy this requirement).

¹ This designation of the regulation (FAR Part 36) has been superseded by the current designation 14 CFR Part 36. The text of form DOA 617 is retained here.



iii



Figure ES-1. Noise Impact Boundary: 12-Month CNEL Contours for January 2018 – December 2018

Source: Port of Oakland ANOMS™ January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018



Table ES-1. Measured Aircraft CNEL values, July 2019

Source: ANOMS™ July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019

						RIV	IT Loca	tion Nu	mber					
July	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14*
							CNE	L (dBA)						
1	62	52	44	62	65	62	60	50	57	48	50	42	34	N/A
2	63	54	47	57	60	60	61	51	62	47	49	46	48	N/A
3	63	55	50	54	59	60	63	50	63	49	50	47	40	N/A
4	59	69	46	49	55	55	58	45	54	56	46	40	28	N/A
5	62	64	47	53	58	58	60	48	57	46	49	42	28	N/A
6	60	55	48	55	58	58	59	43	53	44	43	45	N/A	N/A
7	59	55	43	52	56	57	59	43	59	46	52	41	23	N/A
8	63	54	46	49	55	56	59	49	59	49	54	43	42	N/A
9	64	54	45	53	59	60	60	50	60	48	52	50	55	N/A
10	66	54	47	52	59	58	60	49	58	46	50	43	48	N/A
11	64	57	49	54	57	58	59	50	59	49	50	45	46	N/A
12	64	54	48	53	57	67	60	49	59	77	57	50	38	N/A
13	60	52	43	51	57	58	58	45	60	46	50	44	23	N/A
14	59	51	42	52	56	56	58	45	58	46	50	41	33	N/A
15	62	52	45	58	60	59	58	49	56	46	49	43	35	N/A
16	63	53	44	53	57	58	59	48	59	47	51	43	45	N/A
17	63	54	50	54	59	60	61	49	56	47	47	44	35	N/A
18	63	57	51	57	61	61	61	48	61	50	52	47	44	N/A
19	63	54	46	57	59	62	61	52	57	46	50	42	35	N/A
20	60	49	48	56	58	59	59	46	55	52	47	47	N/A	N/A
21	59	51	44	50	56	56	57	42	56	45	49	41	22	N/A
22	62	51	42	54	57	57	59	48	57	49	49	49	35	N/A
23	63	55	45	54	59	60	61	51	57	47	50	46	29	N/A
24	63	55	46	55	59	60	60	53	57	45	49	47	47	N/A
25	63	59	48	55	60	61	60	47	61	51	53	43	43	N/A
26	63	55	51	56	60	60	61	49	57	44	48	45	36	N/A
27	60	55	42	52	56	56	57	45	56	46	48	43	29	N/A
28	59	51	41	49	57	56	58	50	57	45	48	39	29	N/A
29	62	54	44	53	60	58	58	46	58	49	50	45	32	N/A
30	64	54	51	54	61	61	61	48	58	47	49	43	29	N/A
31	64	56	42	55	59	60	61	50	57	46	49	55	44	N/A
Decibel Average	62	58	47	55	59	60	60	49	58	63	50	46	44	N/A
No. Day	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	29	0

Notes: Values reported are aircraft measured CNEL values at each monitor.

N/A represents an error or incomplete data.

*RMT 14 set inactive due to construction at site.

$$CNEL_{avg} = 10\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum 10^{CNEL_i}/10\right)$$



Table ES-2. Measured Aircraft CNEL values, August 2019

Source: ANOMS™ July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019

						RM	「Locati	on Nun	nber					
August	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14*
							CNEL	(dBA)						
1	63	55	42	53	59	62	61	48	58	46	51	51	37	N/A
2	63	54	41	50	58	58	59	49	58	46	53	45	49	N/A
3	59	50	46	51	56	57	59	51	57	46	48	46	N/A	N/A
4	59	53	42	48	55	56	57	48	60	60	51	39	N/A	N/A
5	62	52	43	61	64	61	58	48	57	50	51	45	38	N/A
6	63	54	44	54	58	58	59	50	58	59	51	49	37	N/A
7	64	54	39	53	58	58	62	49	59	54	60	46	46	N/A
8	64	57	52	54	59	60	61	49	59	53	60	43	55	N/A
9	64	56	46	54	58	59	60	49	57	47	55	46	42	N/A
10	60	51	46	54	57	57	59	47	49	47	49	48	30	N/A
11	59	53	46	49	55	56	57	44	58	47	59	41	33	N/A
12	62	52	43	51	56	55	58	49	56	47	51	49	39	N/A
13	63	54	45	54	59	60	60	60	56	45	55	49	34	N/A
14	63	56	44	56	61	60	60	49	58	46	47	48	43	N/A
15	63	60	45	55	62	59	59	45	57	48	48	50	43	N/A
16	62	55	48	55	61	59	59	52	57	47	49	46	39	N/A
17	60	52	46	52	57	58	60	50	55	45	47	38	33	N/A
18	60	53	43	53	58	58	58	49	56	46	49	42	31	N/A
19	62	59	44	58	63	60	60	55	59	48	51	47	41	N/A
20	64	54	44	56	58	59	60	46	57	45	50	51	35	N/A
21	63	54	43	54	59	59	60	50	58	44	48	47	43	N/A
22	63	58	44	56	61	59	64	45	59	47	51	48	30	N/A
23	63	57	51	55	59	61	61	49	59	49	51	51	39	N/A
24	59	52	47	55	60	59	59	50	55	48	47	49	N/A	N/A
25	60	53	43	51	56	55	56	46	58	46	49	42	24	N/A
26	61	52	43	55	58	58	57	49	58	46	53	50	38	N/A
27	64	53	46	57	60	60	60	48	55	45	48	51	42	N/A
28	63	54	48	52	59	59	60	49	56	48	47	47	37	N/A
29	64	54	51	55	58	60	60	47	63	51	52	48	34	N/A
30	63	53	47	53	58	59	59	50	60	51	51	47	38	N/A
31	59	51	41	52	57	58	60	48	54	44	45	39	29	N/A
Decibel Average	62	55	46	55	59	59	60	51	58	51	53	47	43	N/A
No. Day	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	28	0

Notes: Values reported are aircraft measured CNEL values at each monitor.

N/A represents an error or incomplete data.

*RMT 14 set inactive due to construction at site.

$$CNEL_{avg} = 10\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum 10^{CNEL_i}/10\right)$$



Table ES-3. Measured Aircraft CNEL values, September 2019

Source: ANOMS™ July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019

	RMT Location Number													
September	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14*
							CNEL	(dBA)						
1	57	50	45	61	64	62	60	50	59	57	51	43	38	N/A
2	61	56	47	49	56	55	58	45	58	52	51	41	30	N/A
3	61	51	43	51	53	55	56	51	58	48	50	48	22	N/A
4	63	55	45	57	66	73	66	50	60	61	50	60	54	N/A
5	64	55	49	63	56	58	63	47	59	51	51	50	54	N/A
6	63	56	41	53	57	59	60	49	61	48	50	53	N/A	N/A
7	60	54	51	52	57	59	60	42	55	50	48	45	N/A	N/A
8	60	50	44	55	57	58	60	49	59	54	52	44	N/A	N/A
9	62	54	52	55	57	56	58	47	59	49	53	47	N/A	N/A
10	64	55	47	58	62	61	62	55	60	49	52	50	N/A	N/A
11	64	52	44	59	61	60	61	52	58	48	51	48	30	N/A
12	62	57	42	56	58	59	60	50	59	48	52	45	46	N/A
13	62	56	43	57	60	59	59	49	58	51	50	50	49	N/A
14	59	56	44	54	58	58	60	44	57	47	49	48	33	N/A
15	60	51	44	51	55	56	58	44	60	47	52	43	28	N/A
16	62	52	47	55	58	58	59	46	59	48	52	46	43	N/A
17	63	57	48	56	58	60	61	53	60	50	52	47	42	N/A
18	63	53	46	56	61	60	62	53	60	51	52	46	36	N/A
19	63	57	50	55	59	59	61	50	59	52	51	47	35	N/A
20	63	57	46	54	58	59	61	52	58	49	49	53	46	N/A
21	59	53	48	53	56	56	59	46	53	43	46	41	33	N/A
22	60	52	45	52	56	56	59	49	59	49	52	41	21	N/A
23	61	52	44	56	59	57	57	46	59	47	51	49	41	N/A
24	63	55	49	56	58	58	59	48	57	49	49	50	35	N/A
25	62	56	42	53	59	57	58	53	56	46	47	46	50	N/A
26	63	56	44	54	59	59	60	45	57	49	50	48	38	N/A
27	63	55	46	56	58	60	60	50	60	51	53	48	40	N/A
28	61	54	50	54	57	58	59	49	57	46	49	41	32	N/A
29	59	53	50	53	57	58	59	48	58	49	51	41	31	N/A
30	61	54	47	54	59	57	58	51	57	47	50	49	28	N/A
Decibel Average	62	55	47	56	59	61	60	50	58	51	51	50	45	N/A
No. Days	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	25	0

Notes: Values reported are aircraft measured CNEL values at each monitor.

N/A represents an error or incomplete data.

*RMT 14 set inactive due to construction at site.

 $CNEL_{avg} = 10\log\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum 10^{CNEL_i/10}\right)$



Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Aircraft Noise and Operations Measurements	2
3	Airport Operations	3
4	Preparation of Annual CNEL Contours	4
4.1	AEDT Required Data	4
4.1.1	Aircraft noise and performance data	4
4.1.2	Airport layout	5
4.1.3		
4.2	Preparation of AEDT-input Files	
4.2.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.2.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4.2.3		
4.2.4		
4.3	Annual Noise Impact Area Map	8
5	Validation of Noise Impact Area Map	10
5.1	South Field Contour Validation	
5.2	North Field Contour Validation	
5.3	Single-Event Aircraft Noise Levels	
	JURES e ES-1. Noise Impact Boundary: 12-Month CNEL Contours for January 2018 – December 2018	iv
Table	bles ES-1. Measured Aircraft CNEL values, July 2019	
	ES-3. Measured Aircraft CNEL values, September 2019	
	21. Monthly Aircraft Operational Activity – Third Quarter 2019	
	2. Annual Aircraft Operational Activity – January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018	
	3. Measured and Predicted Aircraft Annual CNEL Values	
	A Highest Takeoff Noise Levels by Aircraft Type	



I Introduction

This Quarterly Noise Monitoring report for the Third Quarter of 2019 (3Q2019) was prepared for the Port of Oakland by HMMH. This report provides the aircraft noise levels and airport operations at Metropolitan Oakland International Airport (OAK) for the three-month period from July 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019 and satisfies the California Division of Aeronautics Noise Standards², Section 5025 requirements. California's Division of Aeronautics and Alameda County received copies of this report.

According to the California Noise Standards, hereinafter referred to as "Title 21", a county may declare an airport within its boundaries to have a noise problem and shall enforce Title 21 requirements. Alameda County has declared OAK a "noise problem" airport. As such, the County must provide quarterly reports to the California Department of Transportation containing at least the following information:

- A map illustrating the noise impact boundary; Title 21, Section 5012, Airport Noise Standard, indicates that the "noise impact area" is based on the standard of 65 dB Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL). See Figure ES-1 in the Executive Summary
- The annual noise impact area and the estimated number of dwelling units and people residing with the noise impact area; see Form DOA 617 in the Executive Summary
- Daily CNEL measurements, number of aircraft operations, and estimated number of operations of the highest noise level aircraft type during the calendar quarter; see Tables ES-1 through ES-3 and Form DOA 617 in the Executive Summary
- Form DOA 617; see the Executive Summary

This report meets and exceeds Title 21's reporting requirements for 3Q2019. The following sections provide the methodology used to obtain the information reported and further details about the aircraft operations and noise exposure from those operations in the OAK environs. This report is organized as follows:

Section 2: Aircraft Noise and Operations Measurements

Section 3: Airport Operations

Section 4: Preparation of Annual CNEL Contours

Section 5: Validation of Noise Impact Area Map

² State of California Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics, Title 21, Subchapter 6, Noise Standards, Register 90, No. 10—3-10-90.



2 Aircraft Noise and Operations Measurements

On September 14, 1990, the Port of Oakland (Port), as the airport proprietor, installed a state-of-the-art noise and operations monitoring system (NOMS)³, which automatically collects flight track and aircraft identification data for a majority of all operations at the airport and measures and reports noise levels at specific locations. In 2006 and 2011, the Port upgraded their NOMS with ANOMS8 software and Brüel & Kjaer (B&K) 3639-E noise monitors, respectively. To maintain the most up-to-date technology, the Port upgraded to ANOMS9 software in 2016.

As shown in Figure ES-1, OAK's NOMS is currently configured with fourteen (14) Remote Monitoring Terminals (RMTs) dispersed in the communities surrounding OAK to assist in evaluating compliance with OAK's established flight pattern and aircraft noise abatement procedures, and to assess the noise impact in residential areas from OAK aircraft operations. ANOMS™ correlates recorded noise events at each RMT with aircraft flight track records obtained from the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) System Wide Information Management (SWIM) advanced technology program, augmented with Automatic Dependent Surveillance-Broadcast (ADS-B) data.

The SWIM and ADS-B data are used to separate aircraft and non-aircraft noise events recorded at the RMTs. ANOMS™ also excludes noise events due to aircraft overflights from other airports (such as San Francisco International) using the aircraft identification information included in the data.

The 14 RMTs located in the community are B&K Noise Monitoring Terminal 3639-E Precision Integrating Sound Level Meters fitted with B&K Type 4952 outdoor microphone assemblies. The RMTs are housed in weatherproof cabinets, and the microphones are placed on booms at least 20 feet above the ground surface or at least 10 feet above neighboring rooftops, whichever is higher, and has a clear line of sight to the path of aircraft in flight. The RMTs report the maximum A-weighted sound level (L_{max}), the duration of a noise event at a pre-programmed threshold sound level, and the (A-weighted) Single Event Noise Exposure Level (SENEL) for single noise events. The RMT's pre-programmed parameters were determined from previous field observations of aircraft and background noise levels at each measurement site. ANOMS™ also reports the Hourly Noise Level (HNL) and CNEL based on both overall noise levels and individual noise events exceeding the selected measurement threshold levels.

The RMT's internal calibration system performs daily checks using an acoustic actuator. The RMTs are externally calibrated periodically, per manufacturer's recommendations, using an acoustical calibrator certified to be consistent with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) reference levels. The measurement systems meet all pertinent specifications of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) and the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) for Type 1 Precision sound level meters and microphones, and comply with all applicable requirements of Title 21.

³ The OAK system utilizes ANOMS™, which is a product of Brüel & Kjaer EMS, Inc.



3 Airport Operations

Title 21 requires the reporting of the total number of airport operations during the calendar quarter. Table 1 provides a summary of the monthly activity for July through September 2019 as captured in ANOMS™, along with the previous quarter totals. The table presents aircraft operations at OAK in the following eight categories: commercial jets, regional jets, corporate jets, turbo-propeller (turboprops), propeller, helicopters, military, and unknown. Commercial jets are primarily large jets consisting of both passenger carriers and freight operators as defined in FAA Order 7210.3. Regional jets are primarily small commercial jets while corporate jets have fewer seats and are typically flown as charter operations. The military category contains both propeller and jet aircraft.

Table 1 indicates an increase from the second quarter 2019 in commercial jets, regional jets, propeller, and helicopters activity by 1.2%, 41.3%, 9.8%, and 9.3%, respectively. Corporate jets and turboprops activity decreased by 3.4% and 1.5%, respectively. Military aircraft captured by ANOMS[™] increased from zero operations to three operations in the third quarter 2019⁴. Unknown aircraft activity decreased to zero from one operation in the third quarter 2019 compared to the second quarter 2019. Overall, OAK total aircraft operations, as captured in ANOMS[™], increased by 4.1% during the third quarter of 2019 compared to the second quarter of 2019.

Aircraft Category		Percent				
Aircraft Category	Jul	Aug	Sep	Total	2Q2019	Change
Commercial Jets	11,091	10,937	10,225	32,253	31,859	1.2%
Regional Jets	1,600	1,691	1,740	5,031	3,560	41.3%
Corporate Jets	1,632	1,821	2,616	6,069	6,285	-3.4%
Turboprops	765	886	797	2,448	2,485	-1.5%
Propeller	1,332	1,241	1,152	3,725	3,394	9.8%
Helicopters	67	81	75	223	204	9.3%
Military	0	0	3	3	0	300%
Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	-100.0%
Total	16,487	16,657	16,608	49,752	47,788	4.1%

Table 1. Monthly Aircraft Operational Activity – Third Quarter 2019

Aircraft operations from OAK determine CNEL in the OAK environs, which by definition is a daily noise exposure. To determine the average daily noise exposure from OAK operations, additional information is required for determining aircraft fleet mix, runway use, and time of day of the operations, since CNEL weights evening (7pm to 10pm) and night (10pm to 7am) noise levels by adding approximately 5 dB and 10 dB respectively to noise levels during evening and night periods.

⁴ ANOMS military operations unavailable due to security reasons. FAA Air Traffic Activity System (ATADS) reported 154 itinerant and 82 local military operations during 3Q2019.



4 Preparation of Annual CNEL Contours

CNEL can be measured or estimated through modeling. OAK measures CNEL at each of the aforementioned RMTs, but determination of CNEL contours requires the use of a noise model such as the FAA Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT). Title 21, Section 5012, Airport Noise Standard, indicates that the "noise impact area" is based on the standard of 65 dB CNEL. AEDT incorporates a comprehensive set of computer routines for calculating airport noise exposure contours. HMMH used the most current release of AEDT, namely Version 2d, to prepare the annual contours for the 12 months ending with the fourth quarter 2018.

HMMH used the Port of Oakland's flight track files and noise level measurement data collected by ANOMS™ as the basis for predicting and validating aircraft noise at OAK using AEDT. Data for aircraft activity, aircraft fleet mix including helicopters, and airport configuration used in the noise modeling process were obtained from ANOMS™ for the time period of January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018. The following sections provide the summary of the data, methods and assumptions used to prepare the Annual CNEL contours.

4.1 AEDT Required Data

AEDT requires data in three principal categories: (1) aircraft noise and performance data, (2) airport layout, and (3) aircraft operational data.

4.1.1 Aircraft noise and performance data

AEDT includes a database of noise and performance data for a broad range of representative aircraft types. Noise data cover a range of distances (from 200 feet to 25,000 feet) for specific thrust levels. Performance data include thrust, speed, and altitude profiles for takeoff and landing operations. The AEDT database contains standard noise and performance data for more than 250 different aircraft types. The program automatically accesses the applicable noise and performance data for departure and approach operations by those aircraft. For aircraft not included in the database, the FAA maintains a list of acceptable "substitutes".

Airfield elevation and average temperature have an effect on aircraft performance; these are accounted for in AEDT. For example, aircraft departing from a high altitude airport and/or at high temperatures must use more thrust than at lower elevations and temperatures. The performance data used by AEDT define the length of the takeoff roll (based on aircraft takeoff weight), the climb rate, and speeds for each flight segment.



4.1.2 Airport layout

AEDT requires the following airfield layout related inputs:

- Runway orientations
- Runway lengths
- Runway end elevations
- Start-of-takeoff-roll points on each runway
- Landing touchdown points on each runway
- Runway threshold crossing heights
- Runway approach slopes
- Annual average temperature, pressure, relative humidity, and runway-specific headwinds

4.1.3 Aircraft operational data

AEDT requires the following aircraft operational inputs:

- Number of aircraft operations
- Aircraft fleet mix
- Day-evening-night split of operations
- Runway utilization
- Flight track geometry and utilization

For accurate determination of daily noise exposure using actual aircraft operations for modeling purposes, the ANOMS[™] database provided complete and accurate information for approximately 207,940 operations on 365 days⁵.

4.2 Preparation of AEDT-input Files

As directed by the Port, HMMH prepared the AEDT input files through the use of our proprietary preprocessor, RC for AEDT, which takes maximum advantage of both AEDT's capabilities and the investment that the Port has made in operations monitoring with ANOMS™. RC for AEDT automates the process of preparing the AEDT inputs directly from the flight operations monitoring results, to permit airports to model the full diversity of activity as precisely as possible. Rather than modeling a single annual-average day, RC for AEDT allows the determination of noise exposure from actual OAK flight operations for a total of 365 days. It should be noted that AEDT is used for all noise calculations. RC for AEDT provides an organizational structure to model individual flight tracks in AEDT. RC for AEDT does not modify AEDT standard noise, performance, or aircraft substitution data, but rather selects the best standard data or FAA approved non-standard data available to AEDT for each individual flight track. The

⁵ RC for AEDT, an AEDT preprocessor, successfully processed 365 days of complete and accurate flight track data to determine the average daily noise exposure. Traditional modeling techniques determine daily noise exposure from a single day of "annual-average" activity.



following subsections summarize the noise modeling inputs for January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018 operations at OAK.

4.2.1 Annual-average airport operations, aircraft fleet mix and period of day

RC for AEDT assigned AEDT aircraft types based on the FAA aircraft code associated with each flight, supplemented by FAA registration data. For commercial operations, selection of the specific AEDT aircraft type was accomplished by using the fleet mix of each airline. This information permits a rational and representative selection of AEDT aircraft types. In cases where multiple AEDT types are available for a single FAA code, RC for AEDT chooses the AEDT type using a random process, with weightings corresponding to the number of each aircraft type operated by that particular airline.

To account for the noise level adjustments applied to evening and nighttime operations, all AEDT input must be coded as to whether it occurs in the day, evening or at night. RC for AEDT uses the time recorded in the operations data to assign each operation to the appropriate time of day based on the actual arrival or departure time at OAK. Operations between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. are unweighted. When the time of the operation is between 7 p.m. and 10 p.m., the operation is considered to occur during the evening, and a weighting factor of 3 times the noise energy (approximately 4.7 dB) is added in the computation of CNEL by AEDT. When the time of the operation is between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m., the operation is considered to occur during the night and a weighting factor of 10 times (10 dB) is added in the computation of CNEL by AEDT.



4.2.2 Annual runway utilization

Runway use was determined from the actual flight track data acquired in ANOMS™. Table 2 summarizes the observed runway utilization rates during January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018, collapsed into the following major aircraft type categories: (1) commercial jet, (2) regional jet, (3) corporate jet, (4) turbo-propeller (turboprops), (5) piston propeller aircraft (propeller), (6) military (7) helicopters and (8) other. AEDT modeled each aircraft operation on the individual runway and flight track found in the ANOMS™ sample, thus each aircraft type has unique runway utilization.

Table 2 summarizes the annual arrival and departure activity.

Table 2. Annual Aircraft Operational Activity – January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018

Source: Port of Oakland ANOMS™ January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018

Regional Jets 2,617 118 2,735 1,401 0 27 0 0 1,428 Corporate Jets 492 354 846 11,876 2 144 4 0 12,026 Turboprops 897 41 938 5,413 6 200 9 0 5,628 Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1	Cusual									
Commercial Jets 66,590 2,085 68,675 382 0 11 0 0 393 Regional Jets 2,617 118 2,735 1,401 0 27 0 0 1,428 Corporate Jets 492 354 846 11,876 2 144 4 0 12,026 Turboprops 897 41 938 5,413 6 200 9 0 5,628 Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953<	Grand Total									
Regional Jets 2,617 118 2,735 1,401 0 27 0 0 1,428 Corporate Jets 492 354 846 11,876 2 144 4 0 12,026 Turboprops 897 41 938 5,413 6 200 9 0 5,628 Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1	Aircraft Landings									
Corporate Jets 492 354 846 11,876 2 144 4 0 12,026 Turboprops 897 41 938 5,413 6 200 9 0 5,628 Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1	69,068									
Turboprops 897 41 938 5,413 6 200 9 0 5,628 Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1	4,163									
Propeller 33 2 35 7,025 349 79 150 0 7,603 Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1 Aircraft Departures	12,872									
Military 5 0 5 7 1 0 0 0 8 Helicopters 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1 Aircraft Departures	6,566									
Helicopters 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 395 398 Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1 Aircraft Departures	7,638									
Other 11 0 11 433 20 35 (1) (19) 468 Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1 Aircraft Departures	13									
Total 70,645 2,600 73,245 26,539 379 496 163 376 27,953 1 Aircraft Departures	398									
Aircraft Departures	479									
	101,198									
Commercial Jets 61,518 2,023 63,541 123 0 9 0 0 132	Aircraft Departures									
	63,673									
Regional Jets 3,739 86 3,825 19 0 52 0 0 71	3,896									
Corporate Jets 10,529 41 10,570 920 267 475 1 0 1,663	12,233									
Turboprops 720 31 751 5,019 50 195 7 0 5,271	6,022									
Propeller 72 3 75 3,859 3,216 90 417 0 7,582	7,657									
Military 6 2 8 2 0 0 0 2	10									
Helicopters 2 0 2 1 1 0 354 357	359									
Other 39 (6) 33 (39) (317) 96 (73) 204 (129)	(96)									
Total 76,625 2,180 78,805 9,904 3,217 918 352 558 14,949	93,754									
Touch & Go / Circuit Operations										
Total 106 6 112 10,932 1,574 260 110 0 12,876	12,988									
Total Operations 147,376 4786 152,162 47,375 5,170 1,674 625 934 55,778 2	207,940									

Note: "Other" aircraft category implies operations with known aircraft types, but no category associations.

"(#)" denotes runways with operations that were incorrectly assigned and adjusted prior to modeling.



4.2.3 Flight track geometry and utilization

The RC for AEDT preprocessor uses every available flight track in the radar sample as an input to the Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT). As discussed in Section 4.1.3, the OAK ANOMS database includes flight tracks with associated flight identification data for 207,399⁶ operations from a total of 365 days modeled. RC for AEDT converts each available flight track into AEDT noise model inputs. AEDT is then invoked to model these operations and generate an annual CNEL contour.

4.2.4 Annual-average weather conditions

AEDT has several settings that affect aircraft performance profiles and sound propagation based on meteorological data at the airport. Meteorological settings include average temperature, sea level pressure, standard pressure, relative humidity, dew point, and headwind speed. Weather data were obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Climatic Data Center averaged over a 1-year period for OAK, and these values were used in AEDT for computing the annual noise exposure map.

Temperature: 57.2 °F

Sea Level Pressure: 1016.7 millibarsStandard Pressure: 1017.0 millibars

Relative humidity: 76.5%

Dew Point: 49.1°F

Headwind speed: 1.9 knots

4.3 Annual Noise Impact Area Map

AEDT was used to prepare the OAK 12-month CNEL noise impact area map shown in Figure ES-1 based on the aircraft noise levels and airport operational factors described in the previous sections. RC for AEDT developed AEDT inputs for each available flight track in the radar data spanning 365 total days. These inputs were imported into and run through AEDT to produce the CNEL contour results.

AEDT predicted slightly higher CNEL than measured during the same period to the south of Runway 12/30 centerline. In compliance with the California Airport Noise Regulation, the AEDT modeled contour was adjusted to the 2018 annual-average measured results. Title 21 specifies that the measured results come from monitors near the closure point of the 65 dB CNEL contour, thus for OAK, the measured results from RMT1 were used. The measured and modeled CNEL at the RMT1 was 61 dB and 65 dB, respectively. Thus, by applying a -2 dB correction to the contour, the modeled results match the measured data. This 2-dB adjustment was applied equally to the AEDT produced contours. The AEDT-produced 67 dB CNEL contour was used to represent the 65 dB CNEL contour shown in Figure ES-1, the AEDT-produced 72 dB CNEL contour represents the 70 dB CNEL contour shown in Figure ES-1 and the AEDT-produced 77 dB CNEL contour represents the 75 dB CNEL contour shown in Figure ES-1.

⁶ There are 541 operations from ANOMs that could not be modeled due to irregular track geometry.



The resulting 65, 70 and 75 dB CNEL contours were plotted on an ArcView map of the area surrounding the airport, as shown by Figure ES-1. The CNEL contours prepared for current annual average daily operations at OAK describe the airport noise environment within the requirements of the California Airport Noise Regulations.

The contour map was used to determine the number of dwelling units included within the Noise Impact Boundary defined by the California Airport Noise Regulations. For this analysis, it was assumed that a parcel was affected if it included an incompatible land use, and if any portion of the parcel was included in the 65 dB CNEL contour. Land use was determined from the AutoCAD parcel map prepared by the Port of Oakland, which was imported into ArcView. Based upon these data and in congruence with the previous reports, no incompatible residences exist within the current Noise Impact Boundary.



5 Validation of Noise Impact Area Map

AEDT modeled the 2018 CNEL values at each of the current noise monitoring sites as described in the section above. Table 3 compares the measured CNEL values for the 12 months ending September 30, 2019 to the modeled CNEL values at each RMT location for calendar year 2018.

RMT 1 is the only monitoring terminal nearest to the 65 CNEL contour. Therefore, the results at RMT 4, 5, 6, 7, and 9 were reviewed to assist with the assessment of the noise impact boundary as modeled using AEDT. As discussed in Section 4.3, the AEDT-modeled CNEL values were adjusted to the 2018 annual-average RMT results. The third quarter 2019, 12 month rolling-average CNEL at RMT1 was 1.2 dB below the modeled CNEL.

Table 3. Measured and Predicted Aircraft Annual CNEL Values

Source: Port of Oakland ANOMS™ January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018

RMT No.	RMT Name	Measured¹ CNEL (column A)	Modeled ² CNEL (column B)	Difference (dB) (column B minus columnA)	
1	Oro Loma San. Dist	62	63	1.2	
2	San Leandro Marina	56	54	-2.1	
3	Fernside	47	47	-0.1	
4	Godfrey Park	55	58	3.0	
5	Garden Isle	59	60	1.0	
6	Wake Lane	58	60	1.5	
7	Fire Station	59	60	1.2	
8	Earhart School	51	52	1.3	
9	Doolittle Drive	57	57	0.5	
10	Tudor Court	54	49	-4.8	
11	John Muir School	50	50	0.0	
12	Garfield School	48	50	1.6	
13	SLUSD Admin Office	42	44	1.3	
14	Washington School	N/A ³	40	N/A	

Notes: ¹ Average, October 2018 – September 2019



² Modeled using AEDT 2d and RC for AEDT 4Q2018 Model.

³ RMT 14 set inactive due to construction at site, rolling 12 month average unavailable for this site.

5.1 South Field Contour Validation

RMT 1 measured an annual CNEL 1.2 dB below to the modeled CNEL, after adjustment applied, at that location. Therefore, the lobe extending to the east southeast (predominant south runway arrival lobe) is accurate compared to the measured value.

Since no RMTs exist within the 65 dB CNEL contour on the opposite side of the airport (the predominant departure end of the south runway), the 60 dB contour was used to compare to measured levels at RMT 5, 6 and 7 to validate the shape and size of the predominant departure lobe. As shown in Table 3, the measured noise levels were as much 1.0 dB, 1.5 dB, and 1.2 dB less than modeled at RMT 5, 6, and 7, respectively. Therefore, the size and shape of the 65 dB CNEL is validated for South Field.

5.2 North Field Contour Validation

Due to the relatively small noise impact boundary associated with North Field operations, RMT locations 4 and 9 were used to validate the 65 dB contour for North Field. RMT 4 is to the west of North Field and had a measured annual noise level of 55 dB, 3.0 dB less than modeled. RMT 9 is to the east of North Field and had measured annual noise level of 57 dB, 0.5 dB below the modeled levels. Therefore, the size and shape of the 65 dB CNEL is validated for North Field.

5.3 Single-Event Aircraft Noise Levels

ANOMS™ enables the airport to monitor the highest measured single-event noise levels for aircraft operations at all 14 permanent noise monitors. As shown in Table 4, the highest measured A-weighted single-event aircraft noise level, i.e., SEL, during the calendar quarter from July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019 from OAK was 100.7 dB SEL produced by a FA50 at RMT No. 5.

Table 4. Highest Takeoff Noise Levels by Aircraft Type

Source: Port of Oakland ANOMS $^{\text{\tiny{M}}}$ July 1, 2019 through September 30, 2019.

Aircraft Type	Total Operations ¹	Highest Measured SEL (dB)	Correlated RMT No.			
FA50	43	100.7	5			
B738	3,140	99.3	5			
B737	7,766	98.8	5			
Note: Information is based on 3 rd Quarter 2019 ANOMS data.						

